REMARKS

The Office Action in the above-identified application has been carefully considered and this amendment has been presented to place this application in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, reexamination and reconsideration of this application are respectfully requested.

Claims 1–16 are in the present application. It is submitted that these claims were patentably distinct over the prior art cited by the Examiner, and that these claims were in full compliance with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112. The changes to the claims, as presented herein, are not made for the purpose of patentability within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. sections 101, 102, 103 or 112. Rather, these changes are made simply for clarification and to round out the scope of protection to which Applicants are entitled.

The drawings were objected to because Figure 1 included reference numbers 6 and 14 to each designate two different parts and did not include elements 8 and 15 referenced in the specification. In response, Applicants respectfully submit the attached replacement drawing sheet, containing Figure 1, which changes the leftmost reference number "6" to —8— and the rightmost reference number "14" to —15—. Further, Figure 11 was objected to for not showing "descriptor 41" referenced in the specification. Applicants have deleted reference number 41 at this location in the specification since the descriptor is the entirety of Figure 11. Accordingly, Applicants believes this objection has been overcome.

The Specification was objected to because the title of the invention was not descriptive.

In response, Applicants have provided a new title that is clearly indicative of the invention to

-13- 00157302

which the claims are drawn. Applicants have also provided a new Abstract in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. Accordingly, Applicants believe this objection has been overcome.

Claims 1–16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Staats (U.S. Patent 6,618,750). The present invention is an information processing system comprising a computer connected to an amplifier in an audio visual (AV) system through an IEEE 1394 serial bus connection. The present invention has a "main information processing device being an amplifier" having "a plurality of terminals for connecting to a plurality of sub-information processing devices with a corresponding plurality of connecting means." (Claim 1; Claims 4, 7, 9, 12, and 15 contain similar limitations) In other words, the amplifier has various different types of external terminals for connecting to other AV devices. Typically, these external terminals are not of types supporting digital bus commands. Hence, there are no drivers for the devices connected to the external terminals. Whereas, Staats discloses a system of AV devices, which may be connected by different types of buses, and a method of collecting driver information for communication between the AV devices. Staats does not discuss a system having devices connected using "various different types of external terminals." In other words, the present invention allows for selection of devices connected to the system through terminals rather than devices which are connected through buses as taught in Staats. Further, the present invention's amplifier has a memory containing "name data indicating names of said terminals." The computer (control device) requests, receives and displays this name data on the external terminals from the amplifier. In this manner, a user of the system can select various AV devices from the name data displayed on the computer. The computer then sends commands to the amplifier to switch to the selected AV device (sub-information processing devices). While

PATENT 450100-03144

Staats discloses distributing driver information for each node in the system (Figure 1), it does not

disclose receiving information (such as name data) on devices connected to external terminals at

each node. Moreover, Staats fails to disclose "displaying the names of said terminals on a

display means [of the computer] based on said name data." (Claims 1, 7, 9, and 15)

Accordingly, for at least these reasons, Staats fails to anticipate the present invention and the

rejected claims should now be allowed.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the

application as now presented is in condition for allowance. Early and favorable reconsideration

of the application are respectfully requested.

No additional fees are deemed to be required for the filing of this amendment, but if such

are, the Examiner is hereby authorized to charge any insufficient fees or credit any overpayment

associated with the above-identified application to Deposit Account No. 50-0320.

If any issues remain, or if the Examiner has any further suggestions, he/she is invited to

call the undersigned at the telephone number provided below. The Examiner's consideration of

this matter is gratefully acknowledged.

Respectfully submitted,

FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP

Bv:

Darren M. Simon

Reg. No. 47,946

(212) 588-0800

-15- 00157302